Case 1:07-cv-09935-CM Document 4 Filed 12/12/2007 Page 1 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF Y		
Juan Cabrera,		
	Plaintiff(s),	07 Civ. 9935 (CM) (AJP)
-against-		USDS SDNY
Boston Scientific Corporation,		DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED
	Defendant(s),	DOC #:
	X	DATE FILED: 13 13 16]

ORDER SCHEDULING AN INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

McMAHON, District Judge.

This action having been assigned to me for all purposes, it is hereby,ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Counsel receiving this order shall contact all counsel of record (or where a party is not yet represented, such party) and, if necessary, provide them with a copy of this order.
- 2. Counsel for all parties are directed to confer, complete and sign the attached "Civil Case Management Plan" and to fax it to the court within Thirty (30) Days. The parties are free to set their own deadlines as long as the plan provides for the completion of all discovery within six months of this order and the filing of a proposed "joint final-pretrial order," within forty-five days of the close of **discovery**. (The "trial ready" date is to be left blank.)

If a conforming case management plan is timely submitted, the parties need not appear for an initial conference. If the parties fail to agree upon such a plan or fail to submit the plan to the court within the time provided (thirty days from the date of this order), the parties must appear for a conference on 2/1/2008 in courtroom 21B, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007 at 10:30 a.m.

- 3. Any party desiring a conference with the Court for purposes of discussing settlement, narrowing of issues, or other pertinent pretrial matters may request a conference by faxing a letter to the court.
- 4. If a motion is filed prior to the conference date, the parties must attend the conference, even if counsel have agreed upon a case management plan. Upon request, the court will adjourn the conference until the motion is fully briefed.
- 5. Parties are to follow Judge McMahon's practice rules. To access a copy of the Judge's rules go to the court's website www.nysd.uscourts.gov and click on "Judge McMahon."
- 6. Judge McMahon's rules governing electronic discovery are automatically in force in this case. The Judge's rules on electronic discovery can be found on the court's website. elen hu Mal

Dated: December 12, 2007

Colleen McMahon U.S.D.J.

UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF		
Juan Cabrera,		
	Plaintiff(s),	07 Civ. 9935(CM) (AJP)
-against-		
Boston Scientific Corporation	n.	
	Defendant(s).	
		X
(for all cas	bject to the Private So	AGEMENT PLAN A and ERISA benefits cases, ecurities Litigation Reform Act)
2. Discovery pursuan	t to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a) shall be exchanged by
3. No additional parti-	cs may be joined afte	r
4. No pleading may b	e amended after	
Supreme Court's observation discovery is conducted, coun	that the issue of qua sel representing any of the special procedure	S.C. § 1983: In keeping with the United States lifted immunity should be decided before lefendant who intends to claim qualified set forth in Judge McMahon's individual
-	nt on the ground of q	qualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of ualified immunity prior to trial. Please identify numunity grounds.
	(For perse	y, must be completed on or before onal injury, civil rights, employment Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and

shall be completed by PLEASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery, including expert discovery" means that the parties must select and disclose their experts' identities and opinions, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration of the discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than t following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by; Defendant(s) expert report(s) by	ſ .he
7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to this case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.nvsd.uscourts.gov .	i
8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate	on of
9. A joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (<u>not</u> including <i>in limine</i> motions), shall be submitted on or before Following submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. <i>In limine</i> motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference; responses to in limine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.	
10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.	

11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause that is not foreseeable at the time this order is entered. Counsel should not assume that extensions will be granted as a matter of routine.		
Dated: New York, New York		
Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]		
	SO ORDERED:	
	Hon. Colleen McMahon United States District Judge	